#### **Performance Based Contracting**



Sustaining Quality in Challenging Times

#### HOUSEKEEPING



#### Moving to Performance Based Contract from Task Matrix Contract

- Why the need for a change?
- How did we go about it?
- What has been our experience?
- What benefits have we seen?
- What lessons have we learned?



#### Why the need for a change?

- Long term contract up for renewal in FY 2005
- Annual cost had grown to nearly \$4 million
- Industry changes
- Old method difficult to monitor and tied outcomes to activities rather than actual cleanliness
- Recognition that we weren't taking advantage of outside vendor's expertise.



#### How Did We Go About It?

- Retained consultant to evaluate current program, develop performance based standards and assist with RFP
- Team made campus visits to view different levels of cleanliness
- On campus determination of desired cleanliness levels by building and space type
- Conducted competitive selection process, with campus participation
- Evaluated capacity as well as cost



#### **Performance Criteria Structure**

- Association of Higher Education Facilities Officers (APPA)
  Housekeeping Appearance Level Definitions
  - Level 1 for public restrooms and Residential Life common spaces.
  - Level 2 for all other campus spaces.
- 4% retainage held pending inspection by outside party, three times per year. Sliding scale used.
- Vendor project manager salary tied to inspection results.



What has been our experience?

**Improved Cleanliness** 



#### **Housekeeping Quality Summary**

<b>Building Type</b>	<b>2007 Quality %</b>	<b>2009 Quality %</b>	<u>Improvement</u>
Residential Life	77.85%	87.28%	+9.43%
Athletics and Recreation	78.97%	84.48%	+5.51%
Academics	79.11%	84.37%	+5.36%
Administrative	78.99%	83.89%	+4.90%



What has been our experience?

**Reduced Costs** 



#### Housekeeping Cost Comparison, FY 2006 Baseline

Fiscal Year	Total Housekeeping Costs	
FY 2006	\$3,811,100	
FY 2007	\$2,174,800	
FY 2008*	\$2,725,800	
FY 2009	\$2,836,000	

<sup>\*</sup>Increased cost due to amended cleanliness and service levels in student center.



#### What Benefits Have We Seen?

- Better cleanliness levels
- Cost Savings
- Added services at less expensive rates than individual procurement
  - Window washing
  - Dumpster-less campus with added trash hauling
  - Mold, fire and water damage response
- More satisfied customers



#### **Lessons Learned**

- Key is quality and commitment of vendor's on-site leadership.
- Outside consultant doing inspections preserves on campus relationships with vendor.
- Need for responsive problem solving campus staff member with specific responsibility for contract management.